

# Fitch Rates California Infrastructure & Econ Dev Bank's 2020A ISRF Bonds 'AAA'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - San Francisco - 24 Nov 2020: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AAA' rating to the following bonds issued by the California Infrastructure & Economic Development Bank (IBank) under its 2014 master indenture (MI):

--Approximately \$325.1 million Infrastructure State Revolving Fund (ISRF) revenue bonds, series 2020A.

The bonds are expected to price via negotiation the week of Nov. 30. Bond proceeds will be used to advance refund and establish an escrow to defease all or a portion of the ISRF revenue bonds under the 2014 MI, and to pay costs of issuance.

Additionally, Fitch has affirmed its 'AAA' rating on the following outstanding bonds:

--Approximately \$270.5 million ISRF revenue bonds under the 2014 MI.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

#### **SECURITY**

The bonds are secured by pledged loan repayments, account interest earnings, and other pledged funds.

#### **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

Sufficient Financial Structure: Fitch's cash flow modeling demonstrates that the ISRF program can continue to pay bond debt service even with loan defaults in excess of Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle, as produced using Fitch's Portfolio Stress Model (PSM).

Favorable Pool Diversity: The largest currently pledged borrower, the Fresno Airport, represents a manageable 8% of the pool. The largest-10 borrowers represent approximately 44% of the total pool. These measures compare favorably to Fitch's medians of 17% and 54% for single-borrower and top-10 concentration, respectively, although the loan pool is smaller and includes a higher percentage of non water or sewer pledge loans than other rated portfolios.

Adequate Pool Credit Quality: Approximately 44% of the loan portfolio is investment-grade or better. This is lower than Fitch's 74% median and attributable to the lack of a public rating for many of the

pool obligors.

Sound Program Management: Program management adheres to a formal underwriting policy which includes, among other things, minimum coverage requirements for most borrowers. To date, there have been no pledged loan payment defaults in the ISRF program.

#### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

--Not applicable given the 'AAA' rating.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- --Significant deterioration in ISRF's aggregate borrower credit quality, increased pool concentration or increased bond leveraging, resulting in the program's inability to pass Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle:
- --Deterioration of performance of loans with higher susceptibility to economic downturns, such as airports and other borrowers not related to water and sewer utilities.

# **Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario**

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit [https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579].

#### **CREDIT PROFILE**

IBank created the ISRF program in 1999 to provide low-cost loans for various categories of public infrastructure projects throughout the state. The series 2020A bonds are the fourth series to be issued under the 2014 MI. The 2014 MI is considered 'open' in the sense that all assets are pledged to all bonds rather than certain assets pledged only to certain series of bonds, such as a 'closed' indenture. In connection with the issuance of the Series 2020A bonds, IBank is seeking to amend certain provisions of the 2014 MI. The amendments will become effective when all the 2014 Bonds, 2015 Bonds and 2016 Bonds are defeased, which may be on or after the date of issuance of the Series 2020A Bonds.

Since its inception, the loan pool has diversified. However, due to the somewhat small albeit increasing pool size, both credit quality and concentration are somewhat volatile. A relatively high percentage of loans (24%) for purposes that are not related to water/sewer systems or tax-supported is reflected in the pool's weaker credit metrics.

#### FINANCIAL STRUCTURE EXHIBITS SUFFICIENT DEFAULT TOLERANCE

Fitch measures financial strength of municipal loan pools by calculating the program asset strength ratio (PASR). The PASR includes total scheduled pledged loan repayments and other pledged funds divided by total scheduled bond debt service. The ISRF's PASR is 1.8x, which is lower than Fitch's 'AAA' rating category median of 1.9x and therefore indicative of a somewhat below-average yet sufficient financial structure.

Due to the sufficiency of the financial structure, cash flow modeling demonstrates that the program can continue to pay bond debt service even with hypothetical loan defaults of 83% in the initial four-year period and 100% over the middle and prior four-year period. Per Fitch criteria, a 90% recovery is also applied in its cash flow model when determining default tolerance. This level is in excess of Fitch's 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle of 65%, as produced by the PSM. The rating stress hurdle is calculated based on overall pool credit quality as measured by the rating of underlying borrowers, size, loan term and concentration.

# LOSS PROTECTION PROVIDED BY OVERCOLLATERALIZATION

The ISRF program bondholders are protected from losses by pledged loans made in excess of bond debt service (overcollateralization) and other pledged funds. At about 1.3x, minimum annual loan-to-bond debt service coverage is lower than Fitch's 'AAA' median of 1.6x, but is still considered sound. The 2014 MI does not establish a reserve requirement, but includes a supplemental revenue fund that requires 1.2x annual debt service coverage, equal to the additional bonds test, before surplus amounts can be released to the program's unpledged assets account. At closing, the 2014 trust estate will include funds from the revenue fund (\$32.6 million), and restricted equity fund (\$41.6 million). IBank plans to lend \$61.3 million of these current balances to loans that they intend to originate by Dec. 31, 2021. The debt service reserve fund for the 2014 bonds, 2015 bonds and 2016 bonds will move to the restricted asset account upon the defeasance of each series. These funds are not accounted for in Fitch's cash flow model, thereby understating the assets available to support the bonds.

## FAVORABLE POOL DIVERSITY AND ADEQUATE QUALITY

The current ISRF loan pool is composed of 87 obligors, which is smaller than the 'AAA' median of 227 borrowers. In aggregate, the top-10 obligors represent approximately 44% of the total, besting Fitch's 'AAA' median level of 56%. At 8%, the largest expected obligor is the Fresno Airport (revenue bonds rated 'BBB+'). CARES Act funds are expected to be allocated to the airport as a fiscal-2021 reimbursement for payroll costs, utilities and other operating expenses. The next two largest obligors are the cities of Santa Cruz and San Diego, representing 5.6% and 5.3% of the pool, respectively.

Given the large portion of unrated pool obligors, the resulting 'AAA' liability rating stress hurdle is

elevated at 65%, versus a 'AAA' median of nearly 31%, as higher stress hurdles correlate to lower credit quality. The majority of the pool, approximately 76%, is backed by water/sewer revenue, lease or tax revenue, or a combination of tax and utility revenue, all of which are considered to be among the strongest pledge types by Fitch. However, the remainder of the portfolio is primarily composed of obligors backed by airport revenues (14%) or other revenues (10%), which Fitch considers to have a higher risk profile.

#### SOUND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND UNDERWRITING

The ISRF program is separate from the state's other state revolving fund programs, including the Clean Water and Drinking Water. Pursuant to loan agreements, underwriting criteria generally include covenants requiring each borrower to collect tax revenues or charge sufficient enterprise rates to meet all debt service requirements. Rate charges may also include a minimum coverage requirement. Additionally, some borrowers may be required to hold local reserves.

To date, there have been no loan payment defaults from ISRF program borrowers. However, two credits in the ISRF program have declared bankruptcy, including the city of San Bernardino in August 2012 and the Los Osos Community Service District in August 2006. While there is currently program exposure to San Bernardino, Fitch does not expect it to negatively affect the program's performance.

#### REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

# **Fitch Ratings Analysts**

#### **Larry Witte**

Analytical Consultant
Primary Rating Analyst
+1 415 732 7572

Fitch Ratings, Inc. One Post Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104

#### Tim Morilla

Director Secondary Rating Analyst +1 512 813 5702

#### **Kathy Masterson**

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +1 512 215 3730

#### **Media Contacts**

#### Sandro Scenga

New York +1 212 908 0278 sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

# **Rating Actions**

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
California			
Infrastructure			
& Economic			
Development			
Bank (CA)			
[State			
Revolving			
Fund]			
- 116			
<ul> <li>Californi</li> </ul>	a		

Infrastructure

&

Economic

Development

Bank

(CA)

/Infrastructure

State LT AAA • Affirmed AAA •

Revolving

Fund

Revenues

-

2014

Master

Indenture/

1 LT

# **RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH**

POSITIVE **⊕** 

NEGATIVE •

**EVOLVING** ◆

## RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

STABLE O

## **Applicable Criteria**

Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub.27 Mar 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

U.S. Public Finance State Revolving Fund and Municipal Finance Pool Program Rating Criteria (pub.18 Sep 2019) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

# **Applicable Models**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Portfolio Stress Model, v1.10.2 (1)

State Revolving Fund Cash Flow Model, v1.18.1 (1)

#### **Additional Disclosures**

Solicitation Status

**Endorsement Status** 

### Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED

ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

# Copyright

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely

responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

# **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.